

Minimization of Elapsed Time in $N \times 3$ Flow Shop Scheduling Problem, The Processing Time Associated With Probabilities Including Transportation Time

Deepak Gupta

Prof. & Head, Department of Mathematics
Maharishi Markandeshwar University, Mullana, Ambala, India
guptadeepak2003@yahoo.co.in

Sourav Singla, Payal Singla, Sukhvir Singh

Research Scholar, Dept. of Mathematics
Maharishi Markandeshwar University Mullana, Ambala, India
souravsngl46@gmail.com, payalsingla86@gmail.com, sukhvir8484@gmail.com

Abstract: This paper is an attempt to study 3-stage flow shop scheduling problem in which the processing time are associated with their respective probabilities including the transportation time. The objective of the study is to obtain an optimal sequence of jobs in order to minimize the total elapsed time. The method is made clear with the help of numerical illustration.

Keyword: Flow Shop Scheduling, Processing Time, Transportation Time, Optimal Sequence.

I. Introduction

Scheduling is an important process widely used in manufacturing, management, computer science etc. Finding good scheduling for given set of jobs can help factory supervisors effectively to control job flows and provide solution for job sequencing. A flow shop scheduling problem consists of determine the processing sequence for n jobs on M machines, where each job is processed on all the machines in the same order and the objective is to minimize the time required to process all jobs. The basic study in flow shop production scheduling has been made by Johnson [1954], The scheduling problem practically depends upon the important factors namely transportation time, relative importance of a job over another job. In a practical situation the processing times may always not be exact as has been taken by most of the researchers. Hence we associated probabilities with processing times. The concept of transportation time was separately studied by various researchers Jaksen (1956), Belman (1956), Maggu and Dass (1981), Nawaz et al(1983) Bansal, (1986), Parker (1995), Singh T.P (1995), Narain and Bagga (1998), Heydari (2003), chandramouli (2005), Belwal and Mittal (2008), Pandian and Rajendran (2010), Khodadadi (2011), Gupta Deepak and Sharma Sameer (2011), Singh T.P (2005), and khodadadi (2011), solved the flow shop three stage problem with different structural condition.

Gupta Deepak and Singla Payal (2012) studied a three stage flow shop scheduling problem with the concept of transportation time with some advanced structured condition to minimize the elapsed time. Here we have extended the work Gupta Deepak and Singla payal (2012) by associated probabilities with the processing time. Thus this paper is more wider and practically more applicable and has significant results in the process industry.

II. Practical Situation:

In manufacturing companies different jobs are processed on various machine . These jobs are required to be processed in a machine shop M_1, M_2, M_3, \dots etc in specified order. In real life we always want to minimize the elapsed time in the manufacturing of product. The processing time are always not exact as has been taken by most of the researcher. So we associate probabilities with processing times. By using the concept of probabilities we reach nearer to the optimal solution.

III. Notation

- S : Sequence of jobs 1, 2, 3,...n.
- M_j : Machine j, $j = 1, 2, \dots$
- a_i : Processing time of i^{th} job on machine M_1 .
- b_i : Processing time of i^{th} job on machine M_2 .
- c_i : Processing time of i^{th} job on machine M_3 .
- p_i : Probability associated to the processing time a_i of i^{th} job on machine M_1
- q_i : Probability associated to the processing time b_i of i^{th} job on machine M_2 .
- s_i : Probability associated to the processing time c_i of i^{th} job on machine M_3
- t_i : Transportation time of i^{th} job from machine M_1 to machine M_2 .
- g_i : Transportation time of i^{th} job from machine M_2 to machine M_3 .
- A_i : Expected processing time of i^{th} job on machine M_1 .
- B_i : Expected processing time of i^{th} job on machine M_2 .
- C_i : Expected processing time of i^{th} job on machine M_3 .

IV. Problem Formulation

Let n job say 1, 2, 3,..., n are processed on three machines M_1 , M_2 , and M_3 in the order $M_1 M_2 M_3$. a_i , b_i and c_i ($i=1, 2, 3, \dots, n$) be the processing time of each job on machine M_1 , M_2 and M_3 respective, assuming their respective probabilities p_i , q_i and s_i such that $0 \leq p_i \leq 1$, $\sum p_i = 1$, $0 \leq q_i \leq 1$, $\sum q_i = 1$, and $0 \leq r_i \leq 1$, $\sum r_i = 1$. Let t_i and g_i ($i=1, 2, 3, \dots, n$) be the transportation time of i^{th} job from machine M_1 to machine M_2 and machine M_2 to machine M_3 respectively. The mathematical model of the given problem in matrix form can be stated as :

Table - 1

| Jobs | Machine M_1 | $t_{1 \rightarrow 2}$ | Machine M_2 | $g_{2 \rightarrow 3}$ | Machine M_3 |
|------|---------------|-----------------------|---------------|-----------------------|---------------|
| i | a_i p_i | t_i | b_i q_i | g_i | c_i r_i |
| 1 | a_1 P_1 | t_1 | b_1 q_1 | g_1 | c_1 r_1 |
| 2 | a_2 P_2 | t_2 | b_2 q_2 | g_2 | c_2 r_2 |
| 3 | a_3 P_3 | t_3 | b_3 q_3 | g_3 | c_3 r_3 |
| . | . | . | . | . | . |
| . | . | . | . | . | . |
| n | a_n p_n | t_n | b_n q_n | g_n | c_n r_n |

Our objective is to obtain the optimal sequence of jobs which minimize the total elapsed time.

V. Algorithm

Step1: Define expected processing time A_i , B_i and C_i on machine M_1 , M_2 and M_3 respectively as follows

1. $A_i = a_i \times p_i$
2. $B_i = b_i \times q_i$
3. $C_i = c_i \times r_i$

Step2: Compute processing time by creating two fictitious machines G and H with their processing time G_i and H_i respectively as follows: $G_i = |A_i + B_i + t_i + g_i|$ and $H_i = |C_i + B_i + t_i + g_i|$

If

Either

$$\text{Min } (A_i + t_i) \geq \text{Max } (B_i + t_i)$$

Or

$$\text{Min } (C_i + g_i) \geq \text{Max } (B_i + g_i)$$

Step3: Define new reduced problem with processing time G_i and H_i as defined in step2.

Step4: Find the optimal sequence by using Johnson's technique (1954) for two machines G and H with processing time G_i and H_i obtained in step2.

Step5: Compute the In-Out table for the sequence obtained in step 4.

VI. Numerical Illustration

Consider a five job and three machine flow shop scheduling problem with processing time with their respective probabilities and transportation time of jobs as follows. Our objective is to obtain optimal or near optimal sequence which minimize the total elapsed time.

Table - 2

| Jobs | Machine M_1 | | $t_{i,1 \rightarrow 2}$ | Machine M_2 | | $g_{i,2 \rightarrow 3}$ | Machine M_3 | |
|------|---------------|-------|-------------------------|---------------|-------|-------------------------|---------------|-------|
| I | a_i | p_i | t_i | b_i | q_i | g_i | c_i | r_i |
| 1. | 45 | 0.2 | 4 | 20 | 0.3 | 3 | 20 | 0.2 |
| 2. | 20 | 0.4 | 3 | 50 | 0.1 | 2 | 10 | 0.3 |
| 3. | 60 | 0.1 | 6 | 30 | 0.1 | 2 | 70 | 0.1 |
| 4. | 20 | 0.2 | 8 | 10 | 0.2 | 3 | 20 | 0.2 |
| 5. | 70 | 0.1 | 5 | 20 | 0.3 | 4 | 15 | 0.2 |

Solution:

Step 1: Define expected processing time A_i , B_i and C_i on machine M_1 , M_2 and M_3 respectively as shown below:

Table - 3

| Jobs | Machine M_1 | $t_{i,1 \rightarrow 2}$ | Machine M_2 | $g_{i,2 \rightarrow 3}$ | Machine M_3 |
|------|------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|
| I | $A_i = a_i \times p_i$ | t_i | $B_i = b_i \times q_i$ | g_i | $C_i = c_i \times s_i$ |
| 1. | 9 | 4 | 6 | 3 | 4 |
| 2. | 8 | 3 | 5 | 2 | 3 |
| 3. | 6 | 6 | 3 | 2 | 7 |
| 4. | 4 | 8 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| 5. | 7 | 5 | 6 | 4 | 3 |

Step 2: Here $\min(A_i + t_i) \geq \max(B_i + t_i)$ satisfied therefore Let us create two fictitious machines G and H with their processing time G_i and H_i using $G_i = A_i + B_i + t_i + g_i$ and $H_i = C_i + B_i + t_i + g_i$ as follows:

Table - 4

| Jobs | G_i | H_i |
|------|-------|-------|
| 1 | 22 | 17 |
| 2 | 18 | 13 |
| 3 | 17 | 18 |
| 4 | 17 | 17 |
| 5 | 22 | 18 |

Step 3: The optimal sequence with minimum elapsed time using Johnson’s technique (1954) is $S = 3 - 4 - 5 - 1 - 2$.

Step 4: In – Out table for sequence S is as follows:

Table - 5

| Jobs | Machine M_1 | Machine M_2 | Machine M_3 |
|------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| i | In – Out | In – Out | In – Out |
| 3. | 0 – 6 | 12 – 15 | 17 – 24 |
| 4. | 6 – 10 | 18 – 20 | 24 – 28 |
| 5. | 10 – 17 | 22 – 28 | 32 – 35 |
| 1. | 17 – 26 | 30 – 36 | 39 – 43 |
| 2. | 26 – 34 | 37 – 42 | 44 – 47 |

Thus the minimum total elapsed time for the given problem is 47 units.

VII. Remark

The study may further be extended by introducing different parameters such as set-up time separated from their processing time and job block criteria.

REFERENCES

1. Baker K. R. (1974), “Introduction of sequencing and scheduling”, John Wiley and sons, New York.
2. Bansal S. P. (1986), “Resultant job in restricted two machine flow shop problem,” IJOMAS, Vol.2 , pp.35-45.
3. Bellman R. (1956), “Mathematical aspects of scheduling theory,” J. Soc. Indust. Appl. Math, Vol. 4, pp.168-205.
4. Belwal and Mittal (2008), “n jobs machine flow shop scheduling problem with break down of machines, transportation time and equivalent job block, Bulletin of Pure & Applied Sciences-Mathematics,” Jan – June, source Vol. 27, Source Issue 1.
5. Chandramouli A. B. (2005), “Heuristic approach for n-jobs, 3-machines flow-shop scheduling problem involving transportation time, breakdown time and weights of jobs,” mathematical and Computational Applications, Vol.10 , pp.301-305.
6. Gupta Deepak & Sharma Sameer (2011), “Minimizing rental cost under specified rental policy in two stage flow shop , the processing time associated with probabilities including breakdown interval and Job-block criteria, European Journal of Business and Management,” Vol. 3 No.2, pp.85-103.
7. Gupta Deepak and Singla Payal (2012) “Constrained n – job, 3 – machine flow shop scheduling problem with transportation time.” Vol. 2, Issue 2.
8. Heydari (2003), “On flow shop schedule problem with processing of jobs and string of disjoint job blocks fixed and jobs and arbitrary order jobs”, JISSOR Vol. XXIV No. pp.1-4.
9. Jackson J. R. (1956), “An extension of Johnson’s results on job scheduling,” Nav. Res. Log. Quar., Vol.3, pp.201-203.
- 10 Johnson S.M. (1954), “Optimal two stage and three stage production schedule with set-up times included,” Nav. Res. Log. Quar., 1, pp.61-68.

11. Khodadadi A. (2011), "Solving constrained flow-shop scheduling problem with three machines," International Journal of Academic Research Vol.3 No.1, pp.38-40.
12. Maggu & Das (1981), "On $n \times 2$ sequencing problem with transportation time of jobs," Pure and Applied Matematika Sciences, pp.12-16.
13. Miyazaki S. and Nishiyama N. (1980), "Analysis for minimizing weighted mean flow time in flow shop scheduling," J. O. R. Soc. Of Japan, Vol.32, pp.118-132.
14. Narain Laxmi and Bagga P.C. (1998), "Two machine flow shop problem with availability constraint on each machine," JISSOR, Vol. XXIV 1-4, pp.17-24.
15. Nawaz, Ensore & Ham (1983), "A heuristic algorithm for the m-machine, n-job flow-shop sequencing problem," OMEGA, pp.91-95.
16. Parker R.G. (1995), "Deterministic scheduling theory," Chapman and hall, New York, 1995.
17. Pandian P. & Rajendran P. (2010), "Solving Constraint flow shop scheduling problems with three machines," Int. J. Contemp. Math. Sciences. Vol.5, no. 19, pp.921-929.
18. Singh T.P. (1995), "On $2 \times n$ flow-shop problems involving job-block, transportation time, arbitrary time and break down machine time," PAMS,(1995), Vol XXI, No. 1—2 March.
19. Singh, T.P., Rajindra K& Gupta Deepak (2005), 'Optimal three stage production schedule the processing time and set up times associated with probabilities including job block criteria', Proceeding of National Conference FACM- (2005), pp 463-470.
20. Yoshida and Hitomi (1979), "Optimal two stage production scheduling with set-up times separated". All transactions. Vol. II, pp. 261-263.