

Network System Protection against Internet Protocol Spoofing based Distributed Denial of Services Attacks during Socket based Packet Transmission

Kanta Verma

*Department of Computer Science & Engineering
Manav Institute of Engg. And Tech, Jevra, Hisar, Haryana, India*

Rishi

*Department of Computer Science & Engineering
Manav Institute of Engg. And Tech, Jevra, Hisar, Haryana, India*

Abstract- In this paper, DPHCF-RTT technique has been implemented and analysed for variable number of hops. Goal is to improve limitations of Conventional HCF or Probabilistic HCF techniques by maximizing detection rate of illegitimate packets and reducing computation time. It is based on distributed probabilistic HCF using RTT. It has been used in an intermediate system. It has advantage for resolving problems of network bandwidth jam and host resources exhaustion. MATLAB 7 has been used for simulations. Mitigation of DDoS attacks have been done through DPHCF- RTT technique. It has been shown a maximum detection rate up to 99% of malicious packets. IP spoofing based DDoS attack that relies on multiple compromised hosts in network to attack victim. In IP spoofing, IP addresses can be forged easily, thus, makes it difficult to filter illegitimate packets from legitimate one out of aggregated traffic. A number of mitigation techniques have been proposed in literature by various researchers. Conventional Hop Count Filtering or probabilistic Hop Count Filtering based research work indicates problems related to higher computational time and low detection rate of illegitimate packets. .

Keywords – Distributed Denial of Service (DDoS), Time to Live(TTL), Round Trip Time (RTT), Packet Filtering, Hop Count, Hop Count Filtering (HCF), Distributed Probabilistic HCF (DPHCF), Conventional HCF (CHCF), Probabilistic HCF(PHCF), Intermediate System

I. INTRODUCTION

Distributed Denial of Service (DDoS) is a largescale, coordinated attack on availability of services of a victim system or any network based resource that is launched indirectly by compromised hosts on Internet. In this attack, attacker fills networks bandwidth with large amount of request packets that consumes bandwidth and makes it difficult for legitimate user to access service. It can be performed at network level, operating system level, and application level. IP spoofing based DDoS attacks pose a big threat to availability of services on Internet. Without being authenticated on Internet, any packet can be sent to anyone. Packet filtering is both a tool and a technique which is a building block of network security. It is a means to impose control on types of traffic permitted to pass from one IP network to another. It examines header of packet and makes a determination of whether to pass or reject packet based upon contents of header. Packet filters operates at network layer and transport layer of TCP/IP protocol. The packet is discarded when TTL reaches zero or when major difference occurs in number of hops in table in case of attack. Although any field in IP header can be forged by an attacker, he cannot falsify number of hops an IP packet takes to reach its destination. An attacker cannot randomly spoof IP addresses while maintaining consistent hop-counts as hop-count values are diverse. server can distinguish spoofed IP packets from legitimate ones using a mapping between IP address and hop counts. Source IP address spoofing is a technique of lying about return address of a packet. With this, attackers can gain unauthorized access to a computer or a network by spoofing IP address of that machine. The hop-count distribution of client IP addresses at a server takes a range of values for effective HCF. It is important to examine hop-count distributions at various locations in Internet as HCF cannot recognize forged packets whose source IP addresses have same hop-count value as that of an attacker. Those end systems would suffer only during an actual DDoS attack whose filter starts to discard packets only upon detection of a DDoS attack [5]. Ayman Mukaddam et al. [6] has proposed for victim side and conventional method of HCF has been used which is

time consuming and not effective. Xia Wang et al. [7] are not trying to improve packet filtering technique which is needed for elimination of random IP spoofing. algorithm of Krishna Kumar et al. [1] requires a shared key between every pair of adjacent routers which requires lot of computational time and more than usual memory space. probability based hop count filtering (PHCF) technique of B.R. Swain et al. [2] does not guarantee that remaining unchecked packets will be legitimate only. Hence, this technique lacks in maximizing up to 100% detection of illegitimate packets from total packets. In technique of Haining Wang et al. [5] attacker may also find effective way by creating an effective IP2HC table to overcome HCF. Hence, this is also ineffective as legitimacy of packets is not sure [8]. Hence, after reviewing literature, it is found that CHCF and PHCF techniques, which are used to filter malicious packets from total packets, possess certain limitations pertaining to computational time, detection rate of illegitimate packets. Hence, there exists lot of scope to maximize detection rate of illegitimate packets and reducing computational time. The packet is discarded when TTL reaches zero or when major difference occurs in number of hops in table in case of attack. Although any field in IP header can be forged by an attacker, he cannot falsify number of hops an IP packet takes to reach its destination. An attacker cannot randomly spoof IP addresses while maintaining consistent hop-counts as hop-count values are diverse. server can distinguish spoofed IP packets from legitimate ones using a mapping between IP address and hop counts. Source IP address spoofing is a technique of lying about return address of a packet. With this, attackers can gain unauthorized access to a computer or a network by spoofing IP address of that machine. The hop-count distribution of client IP addresses at a server takes a range of values for effective HCF. It is important to examine hop-count distributions at various locations in Internet as HCF cannot recognize forged packets whose source IP addresses have same hop-count value as that of an attacker. Those end systems would suffer only during an actual DDoS attack whose filter starts to discard packets only upon detection of a DDoS attack [5]. Ayman Mukaddam et al. [6] has proposed for victim side and conventional method of HCF has been used which is time consuming and not effective. Xia Wang et al. [7] are not trying to improve packet filtering technique which is needed for elimination of random IP spoofing. algorithm of Krishna Kumar et al. [1] requires a shared key between every pair of adjacent routers which requires lot of computational time and more than usual memory space. probability based hop count filtering (PHCF) technique of B.R. Swain et al. [2] does not guarantee that remaining unchecked packets will be legitimate only. Hence, this technique lacks in maximizing up to 100% detection of illegitimate packets from total packets. In technique of Haining Wang et al. [5] attacker may also find effective way by creating an effective IP2HC table to overcome HCF. Hence, this is also ineffective as legitimacy of packets is not sure [8]. Hence, after reviewing literature, it is found that CHCF and PHCF techniques, which are used to filter malicious packets from total packets, possess certain limitations pertaining to computational time, detection rate of illegitimate packets. Hence, there exists lot of scope to maximize detection rate of illegitimate packets and reducing computational time. simultaneously, but it is impractical to require it. Therefore, it makes sense to design communicating network applications to perform complementary network operations in sequence, rather than simultaneously. server executes first and waits to receive; client executes second and sends first network packet to server. After initial contact, either client or server is capable of sending and receiving data.

II. PROPOSED WORK

The endpoint in an interprocess communication is called a socket, or a network socket for disambiguation. since most communication between computers is based on internet protocol, an almost equivalent term is internet socket. data transmission between two sockets is organized by communications protocols, usually implemented in operating system of participating computers. application programs write to and read from these sockets. therefore, network programming is essential for socket programming.

client server model

it is possible for two network applications to begin `datagram packet dp = new datagram packet(buf, 1024);`

```
ds.receive(dp);
```

```
string str = new string(dp.getdata(), 0, dp.get length());
```

```
system.out.println(str);
```

```
ds.close(); }
```

```
receiver checking ip details of sender import java.net.*; public class dreceiver { public static void main(string[]
args) throws exception { datagram socket ds = new datagram socket(3000); byte[] buf = new byte[1024];
datagram packet dp = new datagram packet(buf, 1024); ds.receive(dp); string str = new string(dp.getdata(), 0,
dp.getlength()); string info=dp.getAddress().toString(); // get ip of sender system.out.println(str + " from " +info);
ds.close(); }
```

```

receiver checking socket details of sender import java.net.*; public class dreceiver{ public static void
main(string[] args) throws exception { datagramsocket ds = new datagramsocket(3000); byte[] buf = new
byte[1024]; datagrampacket dp = new datagrampacket(buf, 1024); ds.receive(dp); string str = new
string(dp.getdata(), 0, dp.getLength()); string info=dp.getsocketaddress().toString(); system.out.println(str + " from
"+info); ds.close(); }}

```

III. EXPERIMENT AND RESULT

A. Detection Rate

In DPHCF-RTT, Packet Statistics (PS) has been determined under several hop conditions as mentioned in Table 1. Comparison has been done between proposed DPHCF-RTT technique at different hops, and existing PHCF technique at victim server.

This Packet Statistics is being described as follows: □ Total Malicious and NonMalicious Packets used (M)

□ Total Malicious Packets introduced (m) Probability based Total Malicious Packets (n) No. of Malicious Packets Detected (Count) □ Unidentified Malicious Packets being sent to Victim

□ Server (m-Count)

□ Malicious Packet Detection Rate (r)

DPHCF-RTT technique has shown a significant increase in its performance in detection rate of malicious packets when 30 numbers of intermediate hops, which is its maximum limit, have been considered over PHCF at victim server for total

400000 packets. Results of hops from 5 up to 29 have not been shown as there is no significant change

IV. CONCLUSION

Proposed DPHCF-RTT technique has been implemented. Its performance has been compared with PHCF and CHCF techniques. Detection rate of malicious packets and computation time have been considered as basis of comparison. Detection rate of malicious packets has been increased to 99% as compared to PHCF and CHCF techniques. Also, computation time for filtering illegitimate packets has been reduced drastically and has been proved effective as compared to PHCF technique. DPHCFRTT can be implemented on real-time environment or on cloud platform for maximum number of intermediate nodes up to 30 in future.

REFERENCES

- [1] Krishna Kumar, P.K. Kumar, R. Sukanesh, "Hop Count Based Packet Processing Approach to Counter DDoS Attacks," International Conference on Recent Trends in Information, Telecommunication and Computing, PET Engineering College, Thirunelveli, India, pp. 271-273, 12-13, March, 2010.
- [2] R. Swain, B. Saboo, "Mitigating DDoS attack and Saving Computational Time using a Probabilistic approach and HCF method," IEEE International Conference on Advance Computing, NIT, Rourkela, pp. 1170-1172, 6-7, March 2009.
- [3] Mukaddam, I. H. Elhadj, "Hop count variability," 6th IEEE International Conference on Internet Technology and Secured Transactions, American University of Beirut, Lebanon, pp. 240-244, 11-14, December, 2011.
- [4] F. Zhang, J. eng, Z. Qin, M. Zhou, "Detecting DDoS Attacks Based on SYN proxy and Hop-Count Filter," IEEE International Conference on Communications, Circuits and Systems, University of Electronic Science and Technology, China, pp. 457-461, 1113, July, 2007.
- [5] H. Wang, C. Jin and K. Shang, "Defense Against Spoofed IP Traffic Using HopCount Filtering," IEEE Transaction on Networking, vol. 15 (1), pp. 4053, February, 2007
- [6] Mukaddam, I. H. Elhadj, "Round Trip Time to Improve Hop Count Filtering," IEEE Symposium on Broadband Networks and Fast Internet, American University of Beirut, Lebanon, pp. 66-72, 28-29, May, 2012.
- [7] A Wang, Xia, Li Ming, Li Muhai, "A scheme of distributed hop-count filtering of traffic," International Communication Conference on Wireless Mobile and Computing, pp. 516-521, 7-9 Dec. 2009.
- [8] Ritu Maheshwari, C. Rama Krishna, M. Sridhar Brahma "Distributed Denial of Service (DDoS) Attacks Mitigation and Packet Filtering Techniques: A Comprehensive Review," PTU National Conference on Innovations & Knowledge Discovery in Computing Technologies, IET Bhaddal, Punjab, India, pp. 9-15, 13th_14th August, 2013.
- [9] Ritu Maheshwari, C. Rama Krishna, "Mitigation of DDoS Attacks using Probability based Distributed Hop Count Filtering and Round Trip Time," International Journal of Engineering Research & Technology, vol. 2(7), pp. 1135-1140, July, 2013.